

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/719,433	12/12/2000	Teruo Ashikawa	Q62009	7627
75	90 06/04/2003			
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylva	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037  LUK, EMMANUEL S			IANUEL S
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			HE				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/719,433	ASHIKAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Emmanuel S. Luk	1722				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	<u>March 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
<u>-</u>	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) 29-38 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
	on Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:  1	to have been received					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> </ol>						
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	<u> </u>						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>(</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tr	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 1722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-28 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species I-V and VII-VIII, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 5 and included claims 29-38 being readable to elected Species VI.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 29-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morita et al in view of Perkins et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/719,433

Art Unit: 1722

Page 3

Morita et al teaches the claimed apparatus for molding a magnetic tape cassette (Col. 1, lines 10-12) with plastic, or resin, (Col. 1, lines 55), comprising of a fixed mold (6) and a movable mold (7), slidable cores (8-10) that are in contact surface with one another (Fig. 2, 3).

Morita fails to teach a coarse surface and smooth surfaces.

In regards to the coarse work surface, this shape of the mold surface for producing a product is merely a change in shape. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Morita with change of the surface to a coarse surface because it is a design choice for the shape of the product.

In regards to the smooth contact surface between the mold body and the core, Perkins teaches the contact between surfaces of a mold being smooth. This is to reduce drag on the parts during operation via polishing (Col. 2, lines 6-8). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Morita with smooth surfaces between the contact surfaces as taught by Perkins because it would reduce the drag during operation and thereby reduce the wear of the parts.

In regards to cores being on one of the molds, Morita already teaches the cores on the movable mold part. It would have been merely a rearrangment of parts to place the cores on the fixed mold part instead and still retain the operation of having the core actuate from the respective mold.

Application/Control Number: 09/719,433

Art Unit: 1722

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Uhlig, Wieder, Ando et al and Montgomery.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Emmanuel S. Luk whose telephone number is (703)

305-1558. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 to 4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (703) 308-0457. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0651.

E.L.

May 30, 2003

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 4